

Gradient estimate for SDEs driven by cylindrical Lévy processes

Zimo Hao¹

Based on a joint work with Zhen-Qing Chen^{2,3} and Xicheng Zhang¹

¹Wuhan University

²University of Washington

³Beijing Institute of Technology

Workshop on Stochastic Analysis and Applications

School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences

Nanyang Technological University

Singapore · June 03-05, 2019.

Plan of the talk

- 1 Introduction**
 - Introduction of cylindrical α -stable process
 - SDE driven by cylindrical α -stable process
 - Well-known results
- 2 Main Results**
 - Introduction of Besov space
- 3 Sketch of the proof**
 - Crucial lemma
 - Hölder estimate
 - Lift and gradient estimate
- 4 Future works**

Part 1 : Introduction and our questions

α -stable processes

- ▶ Fix $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Let L_t^α be an α -stable process with Lévy measure and ν_α with the following form:

$$\nu_\alpha(dy) := \frac{dr\mu(d\omega)}{r^{1+\alpha}}, \quad y = r\omega,$$

where μ is a finite measure on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} .

- ▶ L_t^α has the following scaling property:

$$(\lambda^{1/\alpha} L_{\lambda t})_{t \geq 0} \stackrel{(d)}{=} (L_t)_{t \geq 0}.$$

- ▶ We call L_t^α being non-degenerate if the Lévy measure ν_α is nondegenerate in the following sense:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\omega_0 \cdot \omega| \mu(d\omega) \neq 0, \quad \forall \omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}. \quad (1.1)$$

α -stable processes

- ▶ It is easy to verify that for any $\beta_1 < \alpha < \beta_2$, positive number λ and positive measurable function f ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|y|^{\beta_1} \wedge |y|^{\beta_2} \right) \nu_\alpha(dy) < \infty,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\lambda y) \nu_\alpha(dy) = \lambda^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \nu_\alpha(dy).$$

- ▶ By Lévy-Khintchine formula, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\exp[iL_t^\alpha \xi]) = e^{t\psi(\xi)}$$

where

$$\psi(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\xi \cdot z} - 1 - i\xi \cdot z \mathbb{1}_{|z| < 1}) \nu_\alpha(dz).$$

Example 1

When μ is Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{S}^{d-1} ,

$$\nu_\alpha(dz) = |z|^{-d-\alpha} dz, \quad \psi(\xi) = -c|\xi|^\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}).$$

We call this process the standard d-dim α -stable process.

Example 1

When μ is Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{S}^{d-1} ,

$$\nu_\alpha(dz) = |z|^{-d-\alpha} dz, \quad \psi(\xi) = -c|\xi|^\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}).$$

We call this process the standard d-dim α -stable process.

Example 2

When

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^d \delta_{e_i},$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^d with $e_i = (0, \dots, 1(i\text{th}), \dots, 0)$. It is the Lévy measure of process $(L_t^1, L_t^2, \dots, L_t^d)$, where $\{L_t^i\}_{i=1}^d$ are i.i.d. standard 1-dim α -stable processes.

$$\psi(\xi) = -c \sum_{i=1}^d |\xi_i|^\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_{i=1}^d \mathbb{R}_i^{d-1}),$$

where $\mathbb{R}_i^{d-1} := \{\xi_i = 0\}$.

We call this process the cylindrical α -stable process.

SDE driven by cylindrical α -stable process

Consider the following SDE driven by the Lévy process L^{ν_α} ,

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) + b(X_t)dt, \\ X_0^x = x, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^d : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $b = (b_k)_{k=1}^d : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $N(dt, dz)$ is the Poisson random measure of L_t^α and

$$\tilde{N}(dt, dz) := N(dt, dz) - dt\nu_\alpha(dz).$$

SDE driven by cylindrical α -stable process

Consider the following SDE driven by the Lévy process L^{ν_α} ,

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) + b(X_t)dt, \\ X_0^x = x, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^d : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $b = (b_k)_{k=1}^d : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $N(dt, dz)$ is the Poisson random measure of L_t^α and

$$\tilde{N}(dt, dz) := N(dt, dz) - dt\nu_\alpha(dz).$$

Example 3

When L_t^α is a cylindrical α -stable process with $\alpha < 1$, the infinitesimal generator of X_t^x is \mathcal{L}_c^α ,

$$\mathcal{L}_c^\alpha f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x + \sigma_i(x, z)) - f(x)}{|z|^{1+\alpha}} dz.$$

Questions

- ▶ In what condition of σ and b , there is a weak(or strong) solution of SDE (1.2)?
- ▶ If there is a weak solution, does the solution have (strong) Feller property?
- ▶ If the solution has (strong) Feller property, can we get the precise estimate?

Assumptions

(H_s^σ) $\sigma(x, z) = A(x)z$ for some matrix value map $A = (a_{i,j}) \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a positive number c_0 such that for any $x, y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $i, j = 1, \dots, d$

$$c_0^{-1}|\xi| \leq |\xi \cdot A(x)\xi| \leq c_0|\xi|, \quad (1.3)$$

$$|a_{i,j}(x) - a_{i,j}(y)| \leq c_0|x - y|. \quad (1.4)$$

Assumptions

(H_s^σ) $\sigma(x, z) = A(x)z$ for some matrix value map $A = (a_{i,j}) \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a positive number c_0 such that for any $x, y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $i, j = 1, \dots, d$

$$c_0^{-1}|\xi| \leq |\xi \cdot A(x)\xi| \leq c_0|\xi|, \quad (1.3)$$

$$|a_{i,j}(x) - a_{i,j}(y)| \leq c_0|x - y|. \quad (1.4)$$

(H_β^b) For $\beta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\sup_{0 < |x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|b(x) - b(y)|}{|x - y|^\beta} < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

- ▶ Notice that condition **(H_{β₁}^b)** implies **(H_{β₂}^b)** if $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2$ and $b(x) = x$ satisfies (1.5) for all $\beta \in (0, 1)$.
- ▶ We always assume that there is a weak solution X_t^x of SDE (1.2) and define

$$P_t^{\sigma,b} \psi(x) = \mathbb{E}(\psi(X_t^x)), \quad P_t^\sigma := P_t^{\sigma,0}.$$

Well-known results

2006 (Bass-Chen)

There is a weak solution X_t^x of (1.2) when σ is continuous, $b \equiv 0$ and L_t^ν is a cylindrical α -stable process.

Well-known results

2006 (Bass-Chen)

There is a weak solution X_t^x of (1.2) when σ is continuous, $b \equiv 0$ and L_t^ν is a cylindrical α -stable process.

2010 (Bass-Chen)

Assume $\sigma(x, z) = \sigma(x)z$ is continuous in variable x , $b \equiv 0$ and L_t^ν is a cylindrical α -stable process. If any bounded function h satisfies

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}[h(X_{\tau_D}^x)] \quad \text{for every } x \in D$$

for some bounded domain D , then h is Hölder continuous in D .

Well-known results

2006 (Bass-Chen)

There is a weak solution X_t^x of (1.2) when σ is continuous, $b \equiv 0$ and L_t^ν is a cylindrical α -stable process.

2010 (Bass-Chen)

Assume $\sigma(x, z) = \sigma(x)z$ is continuous in variable x , $b \equiv 0$ and L_t^ν is a cylindrical α -stable process. If any bounded function h satisfies

$$h(x) = \mathbb{E}[h(X_{\tau_D}^x)] \quad \text{for every } x \in D$$

for some bounded domain D , then h is Hölder continuous in D .

2017 (Chen-Zhang-Zhao)

Under the condition (\mathbf{H}_s^σ) and (\mathbf{H}_β^b) with $\beta \in (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, 1)$, there is a unique strong solution of (1.2).

Well-known results

2018 (Kulczycki-Ryznar-Sztonyk)

Assume $b \equiv 0$ and L_t^ν is a cylindrical α -stable process with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Under the condition (\mathbf{H}_s^σ) , for any $\gamma \in (0, \alpha)$, $T > 0$, there is a constant C such that for all $t \in (0, T]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|P_t^\sigma f(x) - P_t^\sigma f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\gamma t^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (1.6)$$

For any $\gamma \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{d})$, $T > 0$, there is a constant C such that for all $t \in (0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|P_t^\sigma f(x)| \leq Ct^{-\frac{\gamma d}{\alpha}} \|f\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\gamma} \|f\|_{L^1}^\gamma. \quad (1.7)$$

Part 2: Our main results

Littlewood-Paley decomposition and Besov space

- ▶ Let ϕ_0 be a radial C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R}^d with

$$\phi_0(\xi) = 1 \text{ for } \xi \in B_1 \text{ and } \phi_0(\xi) = 0 \text{ for } \xi \notin B_2.$$

- ▶ For $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\phi_j(\xi) := \phi_0(2^{-j}\xi) - \phi_0(2^{-(j-1)}\xi).$$

- ▶ It is easy to see that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi_j(\xi) = \phi_1(2^{-(j-1)}\xi) \geq 0$ and

$$\text{supp}\phi_j \subset B_{2^{j+1}} \setminus B_{2^{j-1}}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^k \phi_j(\xi) = \phi_0(2^{-k}\xi) \rightarrow 1, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Littlewood-Paley decomposition and Besov space

- ▶ Let ϕ_0 be a radial C^∞ -function on \mathbb{R}^d with

$$\phi_0(\xi) = 1 \text{ for } \xi \in B_1 \text{ and } \phi_0(\xi) = 0 \text{ for } \xi \notin B_2.$$

- ▶ For $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\phi_j(\xi) := \phi_0(2^{-j}\xi) - \phi_0(2^{-(j-1)}\xi).$$

- ▶ It is easy to see that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi_j(\xi) = \phi_1(2^{-(j-1)}\xi) \geq 0$ and

$$\text{supp} \phi_j \subset B_{2^{j+1}} \setminus B_{2^{j-1}}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^k \phi_j(\xi) = \phi_0(2^{-k}\xi) \rightarrow 1, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Definition 4 (Besov spaces)

For given $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the block operator Δ_j is defined on \mathcal{S}' by

$$\Delta_j f(x) := (\phi_j \hat{f})^\vee(x) = \check{\phi}_j * f(x) = 2^{-m(j-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \check{\phi}_1(2^{(j-1)}y) f(x-y) dy.$$

For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, the Besov space $B_{p,\infty}^s$ is defined by

$$B_{p,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{B_{p,\infty}^s} := \sup_{j \geq 0} (2^{sj} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}) < \infty \right\}.$$

The Propositions of Besov Space

Proposition 5

For any $s_1 \geq 0$ and $s_2 > 0$ with $s_2 \notin \mathbb{N}$,

$$H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset B_{p,\infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad C^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) = B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C^n(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset B_{\infty,\infty}^n(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The Propositions of Besov Space

Proposition 5

For any $s_1 \geq 0$ and $s_2 > 0$ with $s_2 \notin \mathbb{N}$,

$$H^{s_1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset B_{p,\infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad C^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) = B_{\infty,\infty}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C^n(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset B_{\infty,\infty}^n(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- ▶ For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by definition it is easy to see that

$$\Delta_j = \Delta_j \tilde{\Delta}_j, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\Delta}_j := \Delta_{j-1} + \Delta_j + \Delta_{j+1} \text{ with } \Delta_{-1} \equiv 0, \quad (2.1)$$

and Δ_j is symmetric in the sense that

$$\langle \Delta_j f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta_j g \rangle.$$

The Propositions of Besov Space

Proposition 5

For any $s_1 \geq 0$ and $s_2 > 0$ with $s_2 \notin \mathbb{N}$,

$$H^{s_1, p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset B_{p, \infty}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad C^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) = B_{\infty, \infty}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C^n(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset B_{\infty, \infty}^n(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- ▶ For $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by definition it is easy to see that

$$\Delta_j = \Delta_j \tilde{\Delta}_j, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\Delta}_j := \Delta_{j-1} + \Delta_j + \Delta_{j+1} \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta_{-1} \equiv 0, \quad (2.1)$$

and Δ_j is symmetric in the sense that

$$\langle \Delta_j f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta_j g \rangle.$$

- ▶ The cut-off low frequency operator S_k is defined by

$$S_k f := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \Delta_j f = 2^{dk} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \check{\phi}_0(2^k(x-y)) f(y) dy \rightarrow f. \quad (2.2)$$

Our assumption for σ

(H $_{\mu}^{\sigma}$) There is a constant $c_0 > 1$ such that for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $\lambda > 0$

$$\inf_{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \inf_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\omega \cdot \sigma(x, \frac{z}{\lambda})| \mu(dz) \geq c_0^{-1}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$|\sigma(x, z) - \sigma(y, z)| \leq c_0 |x - y| |z|.$$

$$c_0^{-1} |z| \leq |\sigma(x, z)| \leq c_0 |z|.$$

Remark 6

- ▶ Notice that condition \mathbf{H}_s^{σ} implies condition $\mathbf{H}_{\mu}^{\sigma}$ here.
- ▶ $\sigma(x, z) = (2 + \sin z_1)z$ satisfies condition $\mathbf{H}_{\mu}^{\sigma}$ but not satisfies condition \mathbf{H}_s^{σ} .

Main Results

Theorem 7 (Gradient estimate for SDE solution)

Assume one of the following conditions holds,

- ▶ $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $b \equiv 0$ and let $\beta = 1$.
- ▶ $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ and condition (\mathbf{H}_β^b) holds with $\beta \in ((1 - \alpha) \vee 0, \alpha)$.

Under condition (\mathbf{H}_μ^σ) , for any

$$\gamma_1 \in [0, \alpha + \alpha \wedge \beta), \quad \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2\right) \in \left(-\gamma_1, \alpha - (\alpha - 1) \wedge (1 - \beta)\right),$$

there is a constant C_T such that for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\|P_t^{\sigma, b} \phi\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma_1}} \leq C_T t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1\right)} \|\phi\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\gamma_2}}. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, when $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the gradient estimate,

$$\|\nabla P_t^{\sigma, b} \phi\|_\infty \leq C_T t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \|\phi\|_\infty.$$

Main Results

- ▶ If taking $\gamma_2 = 0$ and $p_1 = \infty$, we get a corollary directly.

Corollary 8

Assume one of the following conditions holds,

- ▶ $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $b \equiv 0$ and let $\beta = 1$.
- ▶ $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ and condition (\mathbf{H}_β^b) holds with $\beta \in ((1 - \alpha) \vee 0, \alpha)$.

Under condition (\mathbf{H}_μ^σ) , for any $\gamma \in [0, \alpha + \alpha \wedge \beta)$, there is a constant C_T such that for all $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\|P_t^{\sigma,b} \phi\|_{C^\gamma} \leq C_T t^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (2.5)$$

Main Results

- ▶ If taking $\gamma_2 = 0$ and $p_1 = \infty$, we get a corollary directly.

Corollary 8

Assume one of the following conditions holds,

- ▶ $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $b \equiv 0$ and let $\beta = 1$.
- ▶ $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ and condition (\mathbf{H}_β^b) holds with $\beta \in ((1 - \alpha) \vee 0, \alpha)$.

Under condition (\mathbf{H}_μ^σ) , for any $\gamma \in [0, \alpha + \alpha \wedge \beta)$, there is a constant C_T such that for all $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\|P_t^{\sigma, b} \phi\|_{C^\gamma} \leq C_T t^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}. \quad (2.5)$$

- ▶ Notice that (2.5) reduced the restriction of the γ in (1.6) from $(0, \alpha)$ to $(0, \alpha + \alpha \wedge \beta)$. In particular, we have gradient estimate. Moreover, we can deal with the case $\alpha \geq 1$.
- ▶ By a way of interpolation, we also get (1.7) from Theorem 7.

Main Results

- By the dual space theorem, we get the existence of density:

Corollary 9

Fix any $0 \leq s < t$, there is a function $p_{s,t}^{\sigma,b}: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$p_{s,t}^{\sigma,b}(x, \cdot) \in \bigcap_{(s,r) \in \mathcal{I}} H^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where $H^{s,q}$ is the Sobolev space and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= \left\{ (s, r) \mid s < \alpha - (\alpha - 1) \vee (1 - \beta), \quad q \in \left[1, \frac{d}{d - \alpha + s + (\alpha - 1) \vee (\beta - 1)} \right] \right\} \\ &\subset [0, \infty] \times [1, \infty], \end{aligned}$$

such that all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$P_t^{\sigma,b} \phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{\sigma,b}(x, y) \phi(y) dy.$$

Crucial lemma

- ▶ Let $\theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a measurable function and $p_{s,t}$ be the transition probability of process

$$Z_{s,t} := \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(\theta(r), z) \tilde{N}(dz, dr).$$

Lemma 10 (Crucial Lemma)

- ▶ For any $\beta \in [0, \alpha)$, $\gamma \in [0, +\infty)$ and $T > 0$, there is a constants C such that for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ all $j > 0$, $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $t \in (0, T]$ $s \in [0, t)$,

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\beta |\nabla^m \Delta_j p_{s,t}(x)| |f(s)| dx ds \leq C 2^{(m-\gamma-\beta)j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} |f(s)| ds.$$

- ▶ For any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\gamma \in [0, +\infty)$, there is a constant C such that for all $(t-s) \in (0, T]$,

$$\|\nabla^m \Delta_j p_{s,t}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\gamma-m+\frac{d}{p})} 2^{-\gamma j}.$$

The key point of proof

- For simplify, we assume $\sigma(x, z) = A(x)z$ for some matrix value map $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $a(t) := A(\theta(t))$. Recall that $p_{s,t}$ is the transition probability of

$$Z_{s,t} = \int_s^t a(r) dL_r^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} L_{\lambda t}^\alpha \stackrel{(d)}{=} L_t^\alpha.$$

Therefore using the change of variable and the scaling property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t a(r) dL_r^\alpha &= \int_0^{t-s} a(r+s) d(L_{r+s}^\alpha - L_s^\alpha) \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^1 a(r(t-s)+s) dL_r^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\bar{p}_{0,1}$ the density of $\int_0^1 a(r(t-s)+s) dL_r^\alpha$, then

$$p_{s,t}(x) = (t-s)^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} \bar{p}_{0,1}((t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} x).$$

The key point of proof

- For simplify, we assume $\sigma(x, z) = A(x)z$ for some matrix value map $A : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ and $a(t) := A(\theta(t))$. Recall that $p_{s,t}$ is the transition probability of

$$Z_{s,t} = \int_s^t a(r) dL_r^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} L_{\lambda t}^\alpha \stackrel{(d)}{=} L_t^\alpha.$$

Therefore using the change of variable and the scaling property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^t a(r) dL_r^\alpha &= \int_0^{t-s} a(r+s) d(L_{r+s}^\alpha - L_s^\alpha) \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^1 a(r(t-s)+s) dL_r^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\bar{p}_{0,1}$ the density of $\int_0^1 a(r(t-s)+s) dL_r^\alpha$, then

$$p_{s,t}(x) = (t-s)^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} \bar{p}_{0,1}((t-s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} x).$$

- Condition

$$\inf_{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \inf_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |\omega \cdot \sigma(x, \frac{z}{\lambda})| \mu(dz) \geq c_0^{-1} \quad (3.1)$$

guarantee that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\beta \in [0, \alpha)$, there is a constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\beta |\nabla^n \bar{p}_{0,1}(x)| dx \leq C.$$

PDE related to SDE

- Recall that X_t^x is the weak solution of SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) + b(X_t)dt, \\ X_0^x = x. \end{cases}$$

PDE related to SDE

- Recall that X_t^x is the weak solution of SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) + b(X_t)dt, \\ X_0^x = x. \end{cases}$$

- We let $u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; C^{\alpha+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ be a solution of following PDE,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \mathcal{L}_\sigma^\alpha u(t, x) + b(x) \cdot \nabla u(t, x), \\ u(0, x) = \phi(x), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma^\alpha u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(u(t, x + \sigma(x, z)) - u(t, x) - \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \geq 1} \sigma(x, z) \cdot \nabla u(t, x) \right) \nu_\alpha(dz).$$

PDE related to SDE

- ▶ Recall that X_t^x is the weak solution of SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(X_{t-}, z) \tilde{N}(dt, dz) + b(X_t) dt, \\ X_0^x = x. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ We let $u(t, x) \in C([0, T]; C^{\alpha+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ be a solution of following PDE,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \mathcal{L}_\sigma^\alpha u(t, x) + b(x) \cdot \nabla u(t, x), \\ u(0, x) = \phi(x), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\sigma^\alpha u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(u(t, x + \sigma(x, z)) - u(t, x) - \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \geq 1} \sigma(x, z) \cdot \nabla u(t, x) \right) \nu_\alpha(dz).$$

- ▶ By Itô formula, $s \rightarrow u(t - s, X_s^x)$ is a martingale for $s \in [0, t]$. Then

$$P_t^{\sigma, b} \phi(x) = \mathbb{E}(\phi(X_t^x)) = \mathbb{E}(u(t - s, X_s^x)) = \mathbb{E}(u(t, x)) = u(t, x).$$

Characteristic line

- Let θ_t^y be a solution of following ODE

$$\begin{cases} d\theta_t^y = -b(\theta_t^y), \\ \theta_0^y = y, \end{cases}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 11

Under the condition \mathbf{H}_β^b , there is a constant C such that for any $|x - y| \geq 1$,

$$|b(x) - b(y)| \leq C|x - y|,$$

which implies that θ_t^y would never blow up. See Wang-Zhang^[1].

[1] Degenerate SDE with Hölder-Dini drift and non-Lipschitz coefficient. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 48 (2016), 2189–2226.

Perturbation

- Define $\Theta_t^y g(x) := g(x + \theta_t^y)$. Then $\Theta_t^y u$ satisfies a new PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Theta_t^y u(t, x) = \mathcal{L}_0^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(t, x) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(t, x) + \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla \Theta_t^y u(t, x), \\ \Theta_t^y u(0, x) = \phi(x + y), \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Perturbation

- Define $\Theta_t^y g(x) := g(x + \theta_t^y)$. Then $\Theta_t^y u$ satisfies a new PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Theta_t^y u(t, x) = \mathcal{L}_0^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(t, x) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(t, x) + \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla \Theta_t^y u(t, x), \\ \Theta_t^y u(0, x) = \phi(x + y), \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

- where $\tilde{b}(x) = \Theta_t^y b(x) - \Theta_t^y b(0)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_0^\alpha g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(g(x + \sigma(\theta_t^y, z)) - g(x) - \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \geq 1} \sigma(\theta_t^y, z) \cdot \nabla g(x) \right) \nu(dz),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{D}_z^y g(x) \nu(dz)$$

$$:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(g(x + \sigma(x + \theta_t^y, z)) - g(x + \sigma(\theta_t^y, z)) - \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \geq 1} \tilde{\sigma}(x, z) \cdot \nabla g(x) \right) \nu(dz),$$

with $\tilde{\sigma}(x, z) = \sigma(x + \theta_t^y, z) - \sigma(\theta_t^y, z)$.

Perturbation

- Define $\Theta_t^y g(x) := g(x + \theta_t^y)$. Then $\Theta_t^y u$ satisfies a new PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Theta_t^y u(t, x) = \mathcal{L}_0^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(t, x) + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(t, x) + \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla \Theta_t^y u(t, x), \\ \Theta_t^y u(0, x) = \phi(x + y), \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

- where $\tilde{b}(x) = \Theta_t^y b(x) - \Theta_t^y b(0)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_0^\alpha g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(g(x + \sigma(\theta_t^y, z)) - g(x) - \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \geq 1} \sigma(\theta_t^y, z) \cdot \nabla g(x) \right) \nu(dz),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{D}_z^y g(x) \nu(dz)$$

$$:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(g(x + \sigma(x + \theta_t^y, z)) - g(x + \sigma(\theta_t^y, z)) - \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \geq 1} \tilde{\sigma}(x, z) \cdot \nabla g(x) \right) \nu(dz),$$

with $\tilde{\sigma}(x, z) = \sigma(x + \theta_t^y, z) - \sigma(\theta_t^y, z)$.

- Notice that there is a constant C such that $|\tilde{b}(x)| \leq C|x|^\beta \wedge |x|$ and

$$\tilde{\sigma}(0, z) = 0, \quad |\tilde{\sigma}(x, z)| \leq c_0|x||z|, \quad |\nabla_x \tilde{\sigma}(x, z)| \leq c_0|z|.$$

- Notice that \mathcal{L}_0^α is the infinitesimal generation of the process

$$L_{s,t}^0 = \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(\theta_r^y, z) \tilde{N}(dr, dz).$$

- ▶ Notice that \mathcal{L}_0^α is the infinitesimal generation of the process

$$L_{s,t}^0 = \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(\theta_r^y, z) \tilde{N}(dr, dz).$$

- ▶ Since the constant c_0 in condition \mathbf{H}^σ is independent with x and z , we drop the coefficient y and denote $\sigma(r, z) := \sigma(\theta_r^y, z)$.

- ▶ Notice that \mathcal{L}_0^α is the infinitesimal generation of the process

$$L_{s,t}^0 = \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(\theta_r^y, z) \tilde{N}(dr, dz).$$

- ▶ Since the constant c_0 in condition \mathbf{H}^σ is independent with x and z , we drop the coefficient y and denote $\sigma(r, z) := \sigma(\theta_r^y, z)$.
- ▶ We denote by $p_{s,t}(x)$ the transition probability of $L_{s,t}^0$, then crucial lemma is available for $p_{s,t}$. By the Duhamel's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_t^y u(t, w) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{s,t}(w-x) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{s,t}(w-x) \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{0,t}(w-x) \phi(x+y) dx. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Notice that \mathcal{L}_0^α is the infinitesimal generation of the process

$$L_{s,t}^0 = \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(\theta_r^y, z) \tilde{N}(dr, dz).$$

- ▶ Since the constant c_0 in condition \mathbf{H}^σ is independent with x and z , we drop the coefficient y and denote $\sigma(r, z) := \sigma(\theta_r^y, z)$.
- ▶ We denote by $p_{s,t}(x)$ the transition probability of $L_{s,t}^0$, then crucial lemma is available for $p_{s,t}$. By the Duhamel's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_t^y u(t, w) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{s,t}(w-x) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{s,t}(w-x) \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{0,t}(w-x) \phi(x+y) dx. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We operate the block operator Δ_j on both sides and let $w = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j u(t, \theta_t^y) &= \Delta_j \Theta_t^y u(t, 0) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{s,t}(-x) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{s,t}(-x) \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x) \phi(x+y) dx, \\ &:= \mathcal{I}_1^j + \mathcal{I}_2^j + \mathcal{I}_3^j. \end{aligned}$$

(3.4)

- If there is a constant C such that all j and $t \in (0, T]$,

$$|\mathcal{I}_1^j| \vee |\mathcal{I}_2^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma_1 j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma_1}} ds, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{I}_3^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma_1 j} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \right)} \|\phi\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\gamma_2}}. \quad (3.6)$$

- If there is a constant C such that all j and $t \in (0, T]$,

$$|\mathcal{I}_1^j| \vee |\mathcal{I}_2^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma_1 j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma_1}} ds, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{I}_3^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma_1 j} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \right)} \|\phi\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\gamma_2}}. \quad (3.6)$$

- Then we have

$$2^{\gamma_1 j} |\Delta_j u(t, \theta_t^y)| \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma_1}} ds + C t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \right)} \|\phi\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\gamma_2}}.$$

- If there is a constant C such that all j and $t \in (0, T]$,

$$|\mathcal{I}_1^j| \vee |\mathcal{I}_2^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma_1 j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma_1}} ds, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$|\mathcal{I}_3^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma_1 j} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \right)} \|\phi\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\gamma_2}}. \quad (3.6)$$

- Then we have

$$2^{\gamma_1 j} |\Delta_j u(t, \theta_t^y)| \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma_1}} ds + C t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \right)} \|\phi\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\gamma_2}}.$$

- In fact, for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there is a characteristic line θ_t^y such that $\theta_t^y = x$. See H.-Wu-Zhang^[2]. Therefore we take supremum of x and j ,

$$\|u(t)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma_1}} \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{\gamma_1}} ds + C t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \right)} \|\phi\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\gamma_2}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Volterra-type Gronwall inequality

Lemma 12 (Volterra-type Gronwall inequality)

Assume $A > 0$. For any $\theta, \vartheta > -1$ and $T > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(A, \theta, \vartheta, T) \geq 0$ such that if locally integrable functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy

$$f(t) \leq A \int_0^t (t-s)^\theta f(s) ds + At^\vartheta, \quad t \in (0, T],$$

then

$$f(t) \leq Ct^\vartheta, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Volterra-type Gronwall inequality

Lemma 12 (Volterra-type Gronwall inequality)

Assume $A > 0$. For any $\theta, \vartheta > -1$ and $T > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C(A, \theta, \vartheta, T) \geq 0$ such that if locally integrable functions $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy

$$f(t) \leq A \int_0^t (t-s)^\theta f(s) ds + At^\vartheta, \quad t \in (0, T],$$

then

$$f(t) \leq Ct^\vartheta, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

- ▶ When $\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 < \alpha$, $t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \right)$ is a local integral function on $[0, T]$. Combining Volterra-type Gronwall inequality with (3.7), we obtain main result for $\gamma_1 \in [0, \alpha)$ and $\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 < \alpha - \gamma_1$.
- ▶ To prove (3.5) and (3.6), we need the crucial lemma.
- ▶ To lift the limitation of γ_1 from $[0, \alpha)$ to $[0, \alpha + \alpha \wedge 1)$, we need a lift theorem by the semigroup property of Feller process.

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_3^j

- ▶ ($\tilde{\Delta}_j \Delta_j = \Delta_j$ and Δ_j is symmetric) \Rightarrow

$$\mathcal{I}_3^j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x) \phi(x+y) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x) \tilde{\Delta}_j \phi(x+y) dx.$$

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_3^j

- ▶ ($\tilde{\Delta}_j \Delta_j = \Delta_j$ and Δ_j is symmetric) \Rightarrow

$$\mathcal{I}_3^j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x) \phi(x+y) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x) \tilde{\Delta}_j \phi(x+y) dx.$$

- ▶ (Hölder inequality) \Rightarrow

$$|\mathcal{I}_3^j| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x)| |\tilde{\Delta}_j \phi(x+y)| dx \leq \|\Delta_j p_{0,t}\|_{L^q} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j \phi\|_{L^p},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_3^j

- ▶ ($\tilde{\Delta}_j \Delta_j = \Delta_j$ and Δ_j is symmetric) \Rightarrow

$$\mathcal{I}_3^j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x) \phi(x+y) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x) \tilde{\Delta}_j \phi(x+y) dx.$$

- ▶ (Hölder inequality) \Rightarrow

$$|\mathcal{I}_3^j| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Delta_j p_{0,t}(-x)| |\tilde{\Delta}_j \phi(x+y)| dx \leq \|\Delta_j p_{0,t}\|_{L^q} \|\tilde{\Delta}_j \phi\|_{L^p},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

- ▶ (Definition of Besov space and crucial lemma 10) \Rightarrow

$$|\mathcal{I}_3^j| \leq 2^{-\gamma_2 j} \|\Delta_j p_{0,t}\|_{L^q} \|\phi\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{\gamma_2}} \lesssim 2^{-\gamma_1 j} t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1)} \|\phi\|_{B_{p,\infty}^{\gamma_2}}.$$

- ▶ Notice that $\frac{d}{p} - \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \geq 0$, which is $\gamma_2 \leq \frac{d}{p} + \gamma_1$.

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_2^j

- ▶ Define function $\chi \in C_0^\infty$ with

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } |x| < \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{when } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 13

Under condition \mathbf{H}_β^b , function $b_z(x) := \chi(x) \left(b(x+z) - b(z) \right) \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There is a constant C such that all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|b_z\|_{C^\beta} \leq C.$$

- ▶ By Lemma 13 and the fact that

$$\|f\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|f\|_{C^\beta(B(z,1))},$$

we assume $b \in C^\beta$ and have a commutator estimate:

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_2^j

- ▶ Define function $\chi \in C_0^\infty$ with

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } |x| < \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{when } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 13

Under condition \mathbf{H}_β^b , function $b_z(x) := \chi(x) \left(b(x+z) - b(z) \right) \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There is a constant C such that all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|b_z\|_{C^\beta} \leq C.$$

- ▶ By Lemma 13 and the fact that

$$\|f\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|f\|_{C^\beta(B(z,1))},$$

we assume $b \in C^\beta$ and have a commutator estimate:

Lemma 14 (Chen-Zhang-Zhao 2017)

For $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $\theta \in (-\beta, 0]$, there is a constant C such that

$$\|[\Delta_j, f]g\|_\infty \leq C 2^{-j(\beta+\theta)} \|f\|_{C^\beta} \|g\|_{B_\infty^\theta},$$

where $[\Delta_j, f]g := \Delta_j fg - f \Delta_j g$.

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_2^j

Lemma 15

Assume $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$. Under condition \mathbf{H}_β^b with $\beta \in ((1 - \alpha) \vee 0, \alpha \wedge 1)$. For any $\gamma_1 \in (0, \alpha)$ and $T > 0$, there is a constant C such that for all $t \in (0, T]$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all classical solution u ,

$$|\mathcal{I}_2^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma_1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{2\gamma_1+\beta-1}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{C^{\gamma_1}} ds.$$

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_2^j

Lemma 15

Assume $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$. Under condition \mathbf{H}_β^b with $\beta \in ((1 - \alpha) \vee 0, \alpha \wedge 1)$. For any $\gamma_1 \in (0, \alpha)$ and $T > 0$, there is a constant C such that for all $t \in (0, T]$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all classical solution u ,

$$|\mathcal{I}_2^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma_1} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{2\gamma_1+\beta-1}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{C^{\gamma_1}} ds.$$

Proof.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{s,t}(-x) \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{s,t}(-x) [\tilde{\Delta}_j, \tilde{b}(x)] \nabla \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{s,t}(-x) \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \tilde{\Delta}_j \nabla \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

By crucial lemma and commutator estimate, we complete the proof. □

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_1^j

- ▶ Recall that

$$\mathcal{D}_z^y f(x) = f(x + \sigma(x + \theta_t^y, z)) - f(x + \sigma(\theta_t^y, z)) - \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \geq 1} \tilde{\sigma}(x, z) \cdot \nabla f(x).$$

- ▶ Define

$$\mu_\theta(h) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|)^\theta |h(x)| dx \quad \text{and} \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x)dx.$$

Estimate for \mathcal{I}_1^j

- Recall that

$$\mathcal{D}_z^y f(x) = f(x + \sigma(x + \theta_t^y, z)) - f(x + \sigma(\theta_t^y, z)) - \mathbb{1}_{\alpha \geq 1} \tilde{\sigma}(x, z) \cdot \nabla f(x).$$

- Define

$$\mu_\theta(h) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 \wedge |x|)^\theta |h(x)| dx \quad \text{and} \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x) dx.$$

Lemma 16

For any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, there exists a constant $C = C(d, \theta) > 0$ such that for all $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2c_0}$, $f \in C^\theta$ and $g \in C^2$

$$|\langle \mathcal{D}_z^y f, g \rangle| \leq C|z|^\theta \|f\|_\infty [\mu_0(|g|) + \mu_\theta(|\nabla g|)^\theta \mu_\theta(|g|)^{1-\theta}]$$

when $\alpha < 1$ and

$$|\langle \mathcal{D}_z^y f, g \rangle| \leq C|z|^{1+\theta} \|f\|_{C^\theta} [\mu_0(|g|) + \mu_1(|\nabla g|) + \mu_{1+\theta}(|\nabla^2 g|)^\theta \mu_{1+\theta}(|\nabla g|)^{1-\theta}]$$

when $\alpha \geq 1$.

The key point of the proof

- ▶ For simplicity, we assume $\alpha < 1$ and $\phi_z(x) = \sigma(x + \theta_t^y, z)$. Rewrite

$$\mathcal{D}_z f(x) := \mathcal{D}_z^y f(x) = f(x + \phi_z(x)) - f(x + \phi_z(0)).$$

- ▶ We can let $\bar{f}(x) = f(x + \phi_z(0))$. Their C^θ norms are the same. Therefore we assume that $\phi_z(0) = 0$ and there is a constant such that $|\phi_z(x)| \leq C(|x| \wedge 1)|z|$.

The key point of the proof

- ▶ For simplicity, we assume $\alpha < 1$ and $\phi_z(x) = \sigma(x + \theta_t^y, z)$. Rewrite

$$\mathcal{D}_z f(x) := \mathcal{D}_z^y f(x) = f(x + \phi_z(x)) - f(x + \phi_z(0)).$$

- ▶ We can let $\bar{f}(x) = f(x + \phi_z(0))$. Their C^θ norms are the same. Therefore we assume that $\phi_z(0) = 0$ and there is a constant such that $|\phi_z(x)| \leq C(|x| \wedge 1)|z|$.
- ▶ Let $\Gamma_z(x) = x + \phi_z(x)$. By change of variable, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{D}_z f, g \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{D}_z^* g \rangle,$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_z^* g(x) = \det(\nabla_x \Gamma_z^{-1}(x)) g(\Gamma_z^{-1}(x)) - g(x).$$

- ▶ Noticing that

$$|\det(\nabla_x \Gamma_z^{-1}(x)) - 1| \leq |z|, \quad \text{and} \quad |\Gamma_z^{-1}(x) - x| \leq CC(|x| \wedge 1)|z|,$$

we complete the proof.

Lemma 17

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha \wedge 1)$ and $\theta \in ((\alpha - 1) \vee 0, \alpha \wedge 1)$. For any $\gamma \in (0, \alpha - \varepsilon)$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t \in (0, T]$,

$$|\mathcal{I}_1^j| \leq C 2^{-\gamma j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\gamma+\varepsilon)/\alpha} \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} ds.$$

► Recall

$$\mathcal{I}_1^j = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_j p_{s,t}(-x) \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha \Theta_t^y u(s, x) dx ds.$$

Proof.

Let $\delta = \frac{\kappa}{c_0}$. We only prove the estimate for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. The case $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ is similar and easier. Since the time variable and y does not play any essential role, below we drop the time variable and Θ_t^y for simplicity of notations. By definition we can make the following decomposition:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^\alpha u = \mathcal{A}_\delta u + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\delta u,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_\delta u(x) = \int_{|z| \leq \delta} \mathcal{D}_z u(x) \nu(dz) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\delta u(x) = \int_{|z| > \delta} \mathcal{D}_z u(x) \nu(dz).$$



Proof.

$$\mathcal{I}_1^j = \int_0^t \langle \Delta_j p_{s,t}, \mathcal{A}_\delta u \rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle \Delta_j p_{s,t}, \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\delta u \rangle ds.$$

By Lemma 16, we have

$$|\langle \Delta_j p_{s,t}, \mathcal{A}_\delta u \rangle| \leq C \int_{|z| \leq \delta} |z|^{1+\theta} \nu(dz) \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} \mathcal{B}(s,t),$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(s,t) = \left| \sum_{i=0}^1 \mu_i (|\nabla^i \Delta_j p_{s,t}|) + \mu_{1+\theta} (|\nabla^2 \Delta_j p_{s,t}|)^\theta \mu_{1+\theta} (|\nabla \Delta_j p_{s,t}|)^{1-\theta} \right|.$$

Let $\alpha < 1 + \theta < \alpha + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By crucial lemma, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \langle \Delta_j p_{s,t}, \mathcal{A}_\delta u \rangle ds \right| &\leq C \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} \mathcal{B}(s,t) ds \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\gamma j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} ds + 2^{-(\gamma-\varepsilon)j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_0^t \mu_{1+\theta} (|\nabla^j \Delta_j p_{s,t}|) \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} ds \lesssim \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{\alpha - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} |\nabla^j \Delta_j p_{s,t}(x)| \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} ds, \quad \square$$

Proof.

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \mu_{1+\theta} (|\nabla^2 \Delta_j p_{s,t}|)^\theta \mu_{1+\theta} (|\nabla \Delta_j p_{s,t}|)^{1-\theta} \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} ds \\ & \lesssim 2^{-(\alpha - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - 1 - \theta + \gamma)j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} ds \leq 2^{-\gamma j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_{C^\theta} ds. \end{aligned}$$

For $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_\delta u$, by Fubini's theorem and the integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Delta_j p_{s,t}, \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\delta u \rangle| & \leq \int_{|z|>\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Delta_j p_{s,t}(x)| |u(x + \sigma(x, z)) - u(x + \sigma(0, z))| dx dz \\ & + \int_{|z|>\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \left(\Delta_j p_{s,t}(x) \operatorname{div}_x \sigma(x, z) + (\sigma(x, z) - \sigma(0, z)) \cdot \nabla \Delta_j p_{s,t}(x) \right) u(x) \right| dx dz \\ & \leq \|u(s)\|_\infty \left(\mu_0(|\Delta_j p_{s,t}|) + \mu_1(|\nabla \Delta_j p_{s,t}|) \int_{|z|>\delta} |z| dz \right). \end{aligned}$$

By crucial lemma again, we obtain that

$$\int_0^t |\langle \Delta_j p_{s,t}, \bar{\mathcal{A}}_\delta u \rangle| ds \lesssim 2^{-\gamma j} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \|u(s)\|_\infty ds.$$



Lift lemma

Lemma 18

Assume one of the following conditions holds,

- ▶ $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $b \equiv 0$ and let $\beta = 1$.
- ▶ $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ and condition (\mathbf{H}_β^b) holds with $\beta \in ((1 - \alpha) \vee 0, \alpha \wedge 1)$.

Under condition (\mathbf{H}_μ^σ) , for any

$$\gamma \in (\alpha, \alpha + \alpha \wedge \beta), \quad \delta \in [0, \alpha),$$

there is a constant C_T such that for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and all $t \in (0, T]$,

$$\|P_t^{\sigma, b} \phi\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^\gamma} \leq C_T t^{-\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \|\phi\|_{B_{p, \infty}^{\gamma - \delta}}. \quad (3.8)$$

- ▶ Notice that $P_t^{\sigma, b} \phi = P_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\sigma, b} P_{\frac{t}{2}}^{\sigma, b} \phi$ and $(\alpha, \alpha + \alpha \wedge \beta) - \alpha \subset (0, \alpha)$, by this C-K property, we obtain the main result.

Future works

- ▶ We prove that the solution of SDE driven by cylindrical Lévy process has a density in Sobolev space $H^{s,r}$ with

$$s < \alpha - (\alpha - 1) \vee (1 - \beta) \quad \text{and} \quad r < \frac{d}{d - \alpha + s + \beta - 1},$$

but this result does not imply that this density is continuous. So how to improve the index s and how to make r greater are interesting.

- ▶ In our work, we only consider the strong Feller property, which only depend on the distribution of X_t^x . Moreover, the continuous property of σ is enough to guarantee the existence of weak solution. So how to drop the assumption that σ is Lipschitz.

Thanks for your attention!