

The 18th Workshop on Markov Process and Related Topics
**SDEs with supercritical distributional drifts and
RDEs with subcritical drifts**

Zimo Hao
Universität Bielefeld

Based on a joint work with Xicheng Zhang, Rongchan Zhu and Xiangchan Zhu
and a joint work with Khoa Lê

Tianjin University, 31 July 2023

1 Background

2 SDEs

3 RDEs

4 Future works

Regularization by noise

- ▶ Consider the following SDE:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \sqrt{2}W_t,$$

where $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion.

- ▶ (ODE): $b \in \mathbf{C}^\alpha (\alpha < 1) \Rightarrow$ non-uniqueness;

Regularization by noise

- ▶ Consider the following SDE:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \sqrt{2}W_t,$$

where $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion.

- ▶ (ODE): $b \in \mathbf{C}^\alpha (\alpha < 1) \Rightarrow$ non-uniqueness;
- ▶ (SDE): Well-posedness
 - ▷ (Zvonkin 1974): b is bounded and Dini continuous;
 - ▷ (Veretennikov 1979): b is bounded;
 - ▷ (Krylov-Röckner 2005): $b \in L_T^q L_x^p$ with $d/p + 2/q < 1$;
 - ▷ (Röckner-Zhao 2022, Krylov 2021-2023): $b \in L_T^q L_x^p$ with $d/p + 2/q = 1$;
 - ▷ (Zhang, Xie, Zhao, Xia,...): Multiplicative noise cases.
- ▶ Methods: Relation between the SDEs and the Kolmogorov PDEs
(Zvonkin's transformation, Itô-Tanaka's trick,...)

Motivations

► Navier-Stokes equations

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

▷ (Constantin-Iyer 2008, Zhang 2012,...)

$$\begin{cases} X_t^x = x + \int_0^t u(s, X_s^x) ds + \sqrt{2}W_t, & t \geq 0, \\ u(t, x) = \mathbf{PE}[\nabla^T (X_t^x)^{-1}(x) \phi((X_t^x)^{-1}(x))]. \end{cases}$$

▷ $u \in L_t^q L_x^p$ with $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = \frac{d}{2}$.

▷ Existence of the solution to SDE: (Zhang-Zhao 2021) $d/p + 2/q < 2$ and $\operatorname{div} u = 0$.

► N -particle systems

$$dX_t^{N,i} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N K(X_t^{N,i} - X_t^{N,j}) dt + dW_t^i,$$

▷ $K(x)$: Biot-Savart law, Coulomb potential, ...

▷ $K(x) \asymp |x|^{-d+1}$.

Motivations-distributional type

- ▶ Consider the following SDE:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \sqrt{2}W_t.$$

- ▶ **Gradient flow**

- ▶ (Bass-Chen 2001) $b = \nabla B$ with some $B \in \mathbf{C}^\beta$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$.
- ▶ (Flandoli-Issoglio-Russo 2017, Zhang-Zhao 2018)
 $b \in H^{-\alpha,p}$ with $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\alpha + \frac{d}{p} < 1$.

- ▶ **Brox diffusion** (Sinai 1982, Brox 1986)

- ▶ $b = \xi \in \mathbf{C}^{-1/2-}$ is one-dimensional spatial white noise.
- ▶ (Delarue-Diel 2016) rough path & (Cannizzaro-Chouk 2018) paracontrolled calculus:
 $b \in \mathbf{C}^{-2/3+}$ is some Gaussian noise.....

- ▶ **Super-diffusive**

- ▶ $b = \mathbf{C}^{-1-}$ (well-posedness is open, even does not hold) and $\mathbb{E}|X_t|^2 \asymp t\sqrt{\ln t}$.
- ▶ (Chatzigeorgiou-Morfe-Otto-Wang 2022), (Feldes-Weber 2022), ...

Scaling and conditions

- For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $\tilde{W}_t := \varepsilon^{-1}W_{\varepsilon^2 t}$, $X_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-1}X_{\varepsilon^2 t}$ and have

$$X_t^\varepsilon = X_0^\varepsilon + \int_0^t b^\varepsilon(s, X_s) ds + \sqrt{2}\tilde{W}_t,$$

where $b^\varepsilon(t, x) = \varepsilon b(\varepsilon^2 t, \varepsilon x)$.

- ▷ We note that for any $\alpha \geq 0$

$$\|b^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^q \dot{H}^{-\alpha, p}} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha-\frac{d}{p}-\frac{2}{q}} \|b\|_{L_t^q \dot{H}^{-\alpha, p}}.$$

- ▷ Conditions:

- ★ $\alpha + d/p + 2/q < 1$: subcritical;
- ★ $\alpha + d/p + 2/q = 1$: critical;
- ★ $\alpha + d/p + 2/q > 1$: supercritical.

Scaling and conditions

- For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $\tilde{W}_t := \varepsilon^{-1} W_{\varepsilon^2 t}$, $X_t^\varepsilon := \varepsilon^{-1} X_{\varepsilon^2 t}$ and have

$$X_t^\varepsilon = X_0^\varepsilon + \int_0^t b^\varepsilon(s, X_s) ds + \sqrt{2} \tilde{W}_t,$$

where $b^\varepsilon(t, x) = \varepsilon b(\varepsilon^2 t, \varepsilon x)$.

- ▷ We note that for any $\alpha \geq 0$

$$\|b^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^q \dot{H}^{-\alpha, p}} = \varepsilon^{1-\alpha-\frac{d}{p}-\frac{2}{q}} \|b\|_{L_t^q \dot{H}^{-\alpha, p}}.$$

- ▷ Conditions:

- ★ $\alpha + d/p + 2/q < 1$: subcritical;
- ★ $\alpha + d/p + 2/q = 1$: critical;
- ★ $\alpha + d/p + 2/q > 1$: supercritical.

- We assume $b \in \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha}$ with some $\alpha \geq 0$ and consider the related PDE:

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + f \stackrel{\text{(Schauder)}}{\Rightarrow} u \in L_T^\infty \mathbf{C}^{2-\alpha}, \quad b \cdot \nabla u : \mathbf{C}^{-\alpha} \times \mathbf{C}^{1-\alpha}.$$

- ▷ Conditions:

- ★ $\alpha < 1/2$: well-defined;
- ★ $\alpha \geq 1/2$: ill-defined.

Examples

- ▶ Consider the following SDE:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \sqrt{2}W_t.$$

- ▶ Results and models:

- ▷ (Krylov-Röckner 2005): $b \in L_T^q L_x^p$ with $d/p + 2/q < 1$ (sub-well);
- ▷ (Röckner-Zhao 2022, Krylov 2021-2023): $b \in L_T^q L_x^p$ with $d/p + 2/q = 1$ (critical-well);
- ▷ (Zhang-Zhao 2021): $b \in L_T^q L_x^p$ with $d/p + 2/q < 2$ (super-well);
- ▷ (Flandoli-Issoglio-Russo 2017, Zhang-Zhao 2018):
 $b \in H^{-\alpha, p}$ with $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\alpha + \frac{d}{p} < 1$ (sub-well);
- ▷ (Delarue-Diel 2016, Cannizzaro-Chouk 2018): $b \in \mathbf{C}^{-2/3+}$ is some Gaussian noise (sub-ill);
- ▷ (Super-diffusive): $b \in \mathbf{C}^{-1-}$ (super-ill).

Examples

- ▶ Consider the following SDE:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \sqrt{2}W_t.$$

- ▶ Results and models:

- ▶ (Krylov-Röckner 2005): $b \in L_T^q L_x^p$ with $d/p + 2/q < 1$ (**sub-well**);
 - ▶ (Röckner-Zhao 2022, Krylov 2021-2023): $b \in L_T^q L_x^p$ with $d/p + 2/q = 1$ (**critical-well**);
 - ▶ (Zhang-Zhao 2021): $b \in L_T^q L_x^p$ with $d/p + 2/q < 2$ (**super-well**);
 - ▶ (Flandoli-Issoglio-Russo 2017, Zhang-Zhao 2018):
 $b \in H^{-\alpha,p}$ with $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\alpha + \frac{d}{p} < 1$ (**sub-well**);
 - ▶ (Delarue-Diel 2016, Cannizzaro-Chouk 2018): $b \in \mathbf{C}^{-2/3+}$ is some Gaussian noise (**sub-ill**);
 - ▶ (Super-diffusive): $b \in \mathbf{C}^{-1-}$ (**super-ill**).
- ▶ (**Question**) Whether we can obtain the solution to SDEs with **supercritical & ill-defined** conditions?

The setting

- We assume $d \geq 2$, $b \in L_T^q H^{-1,p}$ with

$$\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1, \quad \operatorname{div} b = 0 \quad (\text{supercritical and ill-defined})$$

and let $b_n \in \mathbf{C}_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n - b\|_{L_T^q H^{-1,p}} = 0$. Consider the following approximating SDE

$$X_t^n = X_0 + \int_0^t b_n(s, X_s^n) ds + \sqrt{2}W_t.$$

- We denote the distribution of $(X_t^n)_{t \in [0, T]}$ by $\mathbb{P}_n \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d))$.

Main results

① Theorem 1.1 (H.-Zhang-Zhu-Zhu 2023+)

For any \mathcal{F}_0 measurable random variable X_0 , $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is **tight** in $\mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, if the distribution of X_0 has an L^r density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure, where $1/r + 1/p = 1/2$, then there is a continuous process $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that

$$X_t = X_0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t b_n(r, X_r) dr + \sqrt{2}W_t,$$

where the limit here is taken in $L^2(\Omega)$.

- ▶ When $b \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ or $b \in L_T^\infty \mathbf{B}_{\infty, 2}^{-1}$ (**critical & ill-defined**), there is only one accumulation point of $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. That is for any $b_n \rightarrow b$, \mathbb{P}_n converges to the distribution of $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$.

Application

- ▶ Let $\xi = \xi(x)$ be a two-dimensional Gaussian Free Field (GFF) and ξ^{loc} be the cut-off of it with compact support.

- ▶ Define

$$b := \nabla^\perp \xi^{loc} := (-\partial_{x_2} \xi_1^{loc}, \partial_{x_1} \xi_2^{loc}) \in \mathbf{C}^{-1-} \quad \operatorname{div} b = 0.$$

- ▶ Let $b_\varepsilon := b * \phi_\varepsilon$. We have for any $p \in (2, \infty)$

$$\sup_{\varepsilon < 1/2} \left\| \frac{b_\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\ln \varepsilon}} \right\|_{H^{-1,p}} < \infty, \quad a.s.$$

By our results, one sees that the solutions $\{X_t^\varepsilon\}_{[0,T]}$ to the following approximation SDEs is tight

$$dX_t^\varepsilon = b_\varepsilon(X_t^\varepsilon) ds + \sqrt{2} dW_t.$$

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Consider the following backward PDE

$$\partial_t u + \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + f = 0, \quad u(T) = 0. \quad (\text{PDE})$$

- ▶ Formally, by Itô's formula, $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T f(r, X_r) dr = \mathbb{E} u(0, X_0)$.

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Consider the following backward PDE

$$\partial_t u + \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + f = 0, \quad u(T) = 0. \quad (\text{PDE})$$

- ▶ Formally, by Itô's formula, $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T f(r, X_r) dr = \mathbb{E} u(0, X_0)$.
 - ▷ Well-defined: for approximating b_n , $\langle u, b_n \cdot \nabla u \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow$ cancels the "ill-defined" term by energy estimate.

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Consider the following backward PDE

$$\partial_t u + \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + f = 0, \quad u(T) = 0. \quad (\text{PDE})$$

- ▶ Formally, by Itô's formula, $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T f(r, X_r) dr = \mathbb{E} u(0, X_0)$.
 - ▷ Well-defined: for approximating b_n , $\langle u, b_n \cdot \nabla u \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow$ cancels the "ill-defined" term by energy estimate.
 - ▷ By De Giorgi's method in (Zhang-Zhao 2021), we have

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \int_0^T f(r, X_r) dr \right| \leq \|u\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_T^q H^{-1,p}} \Rightarrow \text{tightness.}$$

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Consider the following backward PDE

$$\partial_t u + \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + f = 0, \quad u(T) = 0. \quad (\text{PDE})$$

- ▶ Formally, by Itô's formula, $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T f(r, X_r) dr = \mathbb{E} u(0, X_0)$.
 - ▷ Well-defined: for approximating b_n , $\langle u, b_n \cdot \nabla u \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow$ cancels the "ill-defined" term by energy estimate.
 - ▷ By De Giorgi's method in (Zhang-Zhao 2021), we have

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \int_0^T f(r, X_r) dr \right| \leq \|u\|_{L_T^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_T^q H^{-1,p}} \Rightarrow \text{tightness.}$$

- ▶ (Problem): Since we don't know whether $\langle u, b \cdot \nabla u \rangle = 0$ holds a priori, we don't have the uniqueness of (PDE).
 - ▷ Once $b \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ or $b \in L_T^\infty \mathbf{B}_{\infty,2}^{-1}$, we have $\langle u, b \cdot \nabla u \rangle = 0$. Thus we have the uniqueness and stability.

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ Consider the following backward PDE

$$\partial_t u + \Delta u + b \cdot \nabla u + f = 0, \quad u(T) = 0. \quad (\text{PDE})$$

- ▶ Formally, by Itô's formula, $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T f(r, X_r) dr = \mathbb{E} u(0, X_0)$.
 - ▷ Well-defined: for approximating b_n , $\langle u, b_n \cdot \nabla u \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow$ cancels the "ill-defined" term by energy estimate.
 - ▷ By De Giorgi's method in (Zhang-Zhao 2021), we have

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \int_0^T f(r, X_r) dr \right| \leq \|u\|_{L_T^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_T^q H^{-1,p}} \Rightarrow \text{tightness.}$$

- ▶ (Problem): Since we don't know whether $\langle u, b \cdot \nabla u \rangle = 0$ holds a priori, we don't have the uniqueness of (PDE).
 - ▷ Once $b \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ or $b \in L_T^\infty \mathbf{B}_{\infty,2}^{-1}$, we have $\langle u, b \cdot \nabla u \rangle = 0$. Thus we have the uniqueness and stability.
- ▶ We can find a bounded linear operator

$$A : L_T^q H^{-1,p} \rightarrow L_T^\infty L^\infty \cap L_T^2 H^{1,2}$$

such that for any f , $u = Af$ solves (PDE).

Martingale solution

① Theorem 1.2 (H.-Zhang-Zhu-Zhu 2023+)

There is a set $I \subset [0, T]$ containing 0 and T of full measure such that for any distribution μ_0 which has an L^2 density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure, there is a unique measure $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d))$ such that for any $f \in C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$

$$M_t := Af(t, w_t) - Af(0, w_0) - \int_0^t f(r, w_r) dr$$

is a \mathbb{P} -martingale on I and $\mathbb{P} \circ w_0^{-1} = \mu_0$.

Martingale solution

① Theorem 1.2 (H.-Zhang-Zhu-Zhu 2023+)

There is a set $I \subset [0, T]$ containing 0 and T of full measure such that for any distribution μ_0 which has an L^2 density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure, there is a unique measure $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}(C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d))$ such that for any $f \in C([0, T]; C_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$

$$M_t := Af(t, w_t) - Af(0, w_0) - \int_0^t f(r, w_r) dr$$

is a \mathbb{P} -martingale on I and $\mathbb{P} \circ w_0^{-1} = \mu_0$.

- ▶ When $b \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ or $b \in L_T^\infty \mathbf{B}_{\infty, 2}^{-1}$, Af is the unique solution to (PDE).
- ▶ Uniqueness of PDE \Rightarrow the unique accumulation point of $\{\mathbb{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

Subcritical cases

② **Theorem 1.3** (H.-Zhang-Zhu-Zhu 2023+)

Assume that $b \in L_T^q H^{-\alpha,p}$ with some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\Gamma := \alpha + d/p + 2/q < 1$ and $\operatorname{div} b = 0$. For any \mathcal{F}_0 measurable random variable X_0 , there is a unique (in law) continuous process $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that

$$X_t = X_0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t b_n(r, X_r) dr + \sqrt{2} W_t,$$

where the limit here is taken in $L^2(\Omega)$, and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and smooth functions f

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^t f(r, X_r) dr \right|^m \leq C_m |t - s|^{(2-\Gamma)m/2} \|f\|_{L_T^q H^{-\alpha,p}}^m. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Property (1) implies that $t \rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t b_n(r, X_r) dr$ is a zero energy process.

Sketch of the proof

- In (PDE), we define

$$b \cdot \nabla u := \operatorname{div}(b \prec u + b \circ u) + b \succ \nabla u,$$

which by paraproduct implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b \cdot \nabla u\|_{L_T^q \mathbf{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\alpha}} &\lesssim \|b \prec u + b \circ u\|_{L_T^q \mathbf{B}_{p,\infty}^{1-\alpha}} + \kappa_b \|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \kappa_b (\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \mathbf{B}_{\infty,\infty}^1} + \|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof

- ▶ In (PDE), we define

$$b \cdot \nabla u := \operatorname{div}(b \prec u + b \circ u) + b \succ \nabla u,$$

which by paraproduct implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|b \cdot \nabla u\|_{L_T^q \mathbf{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\alpha}} &\lesssim \|b \prec u + b \circ u\|_{L_T^q \mathbf{B}_{p,\infty}^{1-\alpha}} + \kappa_b \|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \kappa_b (\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \mathbf{B}_{\infty,\infty}^1} + \|\nabla u\|_{\mathbb{L}_T^\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Therefore, we have

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \mathbf{B}_{p,\infty}^{2-\alpha-2/q}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_T^\infty \mathbf{B}_{p,\infty}^{-\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta, t,s \in [0,T]} \|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)\|_{L^\infty} = 0.$$

- ▶ Then we can construct the Zvonkin's transformation by taking $f = b$ and $\Phi_t(x) := x + u(t, x)$.

Rough path and RDE

- ▶ For a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, the Brownian motion $W_t(\omega)$, which is in some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, can be regarded as a rough path with

$$|W_t(\omega) - W_s(\omega)| \leq C|t - s|^\alpha, \quad |\mathbb{W}_{s,t}| := \left| \int_s^t (W_r - W_s) dW_s(\omega) \right| \leq C|t - s|^{2\alpha}$$

for any $\alpha \in (1, 1/2)$. We denote $\mathbf{W} := (W, \mathbb{W})$.

Rough path and RDE

- ▶ For a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, the Brownian motion $W_t(\omega)$, which is in some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, can be regarded as a rough path with

$$|W_t(\omega) - W_s(\omega)| \leq C|t - s|^\alpha, \quad |\mathbb{W}_{s,t}| := \left| \int_s^t (W_r - W_s) dW_s(\omega) \right| \leq C|t - s|^{2\alpha}$$

for any $\alpha \in (1, 1/2)$. We denote $\mathbf{W} := (W, \mathbb{W})$.

- ▶ Then for any $\sigma = \sigma(x) \in \mathbf{C}_b^2$ the following rough differential equations (RDEs)

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_r) d\mathbf{W}_r \tag{RDE}$$

is well-defined by

$$\int_0^t \sigma(X_r) d\mathbf{W}_r := \lim_{|\pi| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{r,s \in \pi} (\sigma(X_r)(W_s - W_r) + \sigma(X_r) \nabla \sigma(X_r) \mathbb{W}_{r,s}),$$

where π is any partition of $[0, t]$.

- ▶ When $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}^3$, there is a unique solution to (RDE).

Main results

① Theorem 2.1 (H.-Lê 2023+)

Let $T > 0$. Assume that $b \in L_T^q L^p$ with some $d/p + 2/q < 1$ and $p > 2$. There is a event $\Omega_{b,T}$ of full measure such that for any $\omega \in \Omega_{b,T}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a unique solution to the following RDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(r, X_r) dr + \int_0^t \sigma(X_r) dW_r(\omega)$$

with

$$\int_0^t |b(r, X_r)| dr < \infty.$$

Main results

① Theorem 2.1 (H.-Lê 2023+)

Let $T > 0$. Assume that $b \in L_T^q L^p$ with some $d/p + 2/q < 1$ and $p > 2$. There is a event $\Omega_{b,T}$ of full measure such that for any $\omega \in \Omega_{b,T}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a unique solution to the following RDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(r, X_r) dr + \int_0^t \sigma(X_r) dW_r(\omega)$$

with

$$\int_0^t |b(r, X_r)| dr < \infty.$$

- ▶ The uniqueness here is in path-by-path sense. That is for any fixed $\omega \in \Omega_{b,T}$ and solutions X_t and Y_t with $X_0 = Y_0$, we have $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ (no a.s.).
- ▶ The existence allows us to construct solution $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ with any $X_0(\omega)$, even if X_0 is not measurable.

Main results

① Theorem 2.1 (H.-Lê 2023+)

Let $T > 0$. Assume that $b \in L_T^q L^p$ with some $d/p + 2/q < 1$ and $p > 2$. There is a event $\Omega_{b,T}$ of full measure such that for any $\omega \in \Omega_{b,T}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a unique solution to the following RDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(r, X_r) dr + \int_0^t \sigma(X_r) dW_r(\omega)$$

with

$$\int_0^t |b(r, X_r)| dr < \infty.$$

- ▶ The uniqueness here is in path-by-path sense. That is for any fixed $\omega \in \Omega_{b,T}$ and solutions X_t and Y_t with $X_0 = Y_0$, we have $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ (no a.s.).
- ▶ The existence allows us to construct solution $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ with any $X_0(\omega)$, even if X_0 is not measurable.
- ▶ When $\sigma = \mathbb{I}$, this result has been obtained in (Anzeletti-Lê-Ling 2023).

Crucial of the proof

- ▶ Consider the following semi-flow

$$\phi_t^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t \sigma(\phi_r^{s,x}) d\mathbf{W}_r.$$

- ▶ (Davie's estimate)

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^t (b(\phi_r^{s,x}) - b(\phi_r^{s,y})) dr \right|^m \lesssim |x - y|^m |t - s|^\alpha \|b\|_{L_q^p(T)}^m.$$

Crucial of the proof

- ▶ Consider the following semi-flow

$$\phi_t^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t \sigma(\phi_r^{s,x}) dW_r.$$

- ▶ (Davie's estimate)

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^t (b(\phi_r^{s,x}) - b(\phi_r^{s,y})) dr \right|^m \lesssim |x - y|^m |t - s|^\alpha \|b\|_{L_q^p(T)}^m.$$

- ▶ When $\sigma = \mathbb{I}$, in ([Anzeletti-Lê-Ling 2023](#)), $\phi_t^{s,x} = x + W_t - W_s$ and it is sufficient to show

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^t \nabla b(x + W_r) dr \right|^m \lesssim |t - s|^\alpha \|b\|_{L_q^p(T)}^m.$$

Crucial of the proof

- ▶ Consider the following semi-flow

$$\phi_t^{s,x} = x + \int_s^t \sigma(\phi_r^{s,x}) dW_r.$$

- ▶ (Davie's estimate)

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^t (b(\phi_r^{s,x}) - b(\phi_r^{s,y})) dr \right|^m \lesssim |x - y|^m |t - s|^\alpha \|b\|_{L_q^p(T)}^m.$$

- ▶ When $\sigma = \mathbb{I}$, in ([Anzeletti-Lê-Ling 2023](#)), $\phi_t^{s,x} = x + W_t - W_s$ and it is sufficient to show

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^t \nabla b(x + W_r) dr \right|^m \lesssim |t - s|^\alpha \|b\|_{L_q^p(T)}^m.$$

- ▶ (Method)

The relation between the PDE and the SDE.

Further works

- ▶ Uniqueness in the supercritical cases.
- ▶ Characterize the limit of the approximation solutions to the SDEs with drift $b = \nabla^\perp \text{GFF}$.
- ▶ RDEs with "singular" diffusion coefficients.
- ▶ ...

Thank you !